

STEVEN M. WATT\* swatt@aclu.org  
BEN WIZNER (SBN 215724) bwizner@aclu.org  
JAMEEL JAFFER\* jjaffer@aclu.org  
STEVEN R. SHAPIRO\* sshapiro@aclu.org  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
UNION FOUNDATION  
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor  
New York, NY 10014  
Tel. 212.549-2500 / Fax 212.549.2651

ANN BRICK (SBN 65296) abrick@aclunc.org  
ACLU FOUNDATION OF  
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA  
39 Drumm Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Tel. 415.621.2493 / Fax 415.255.1478

Additional Counsel Listed on Next Page

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

**BINYAM MOHAMED;  
ABOU ELKASSIM BRITEL;  
AHMED AGIZA;  
MOHAMED FARAG AHMAD  
BASHMILAH;  
BISHER AL-RAWI,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**JEPPESEN DATAPLAN, INC.,**

**Defendant.**

**Civil Action No. 5:07-cv-02798 (JW)**

**DECLARATION OF ANNA WIGENMARK  
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'  
OPPOSITION TO THE UNITED STATES'  
MOTION TO DISMISS OR, IN THE  
ALTERNATIVE, FOR SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT**

1 CLIVE STAFFORD SMITH\*† clivess@mac.com  
2 ZACHARY KATZNELSON† (SBN 209489) zachary@reprieve.org.uk  
3 REPRIEVE  
4 PO Box 52742  
5 London EC4P 4WS  
6 England  
7 Tel. +44 (0)207 353 4640 / Fax +44 (0)207 353 4641

8 PAUL HOFFMAN (SBN 71244) hoffpaul@aol.com  
9 SCHONBRUN DESIMONE SEPLOW  
10 HARRIS & HOFFMAN LLP  
11 732 Ocean Front Walk, Suite 100  
12 Venice, CA 90291  
13 Tel 310.999.7040, ext. 4 / Fax 310.999.7040

14 HOPE METCALF\* hope.metcalf@yale.edu  
15 NATIONAL LITIGATION PROJECT  
16 ALLARD K. LOWENSTEIN INTERNATIONAL  
17 HUMAN RIGHTS CLINIC  
18 YALE LAW SCHOOL  
19 127 Wall Street  
20 New Haven, CT 06520  
21 Tel. 203.432.9404 / Fax 203.432.9128

22 MARGARET L. SATTERTHWAITE\*++ satterth@juris.law.nyu.edu  
23 INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS CLINIC  
24 WASHINGTON SQUARE LEGAL SERVICES, INC.  
25 NEW YORK UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW  
26 245 Sullivan Street  
27 New York, NY 10012  
28 Tel. 212-998-6657 / Fax 212-995-4031

**Attorneys for Plaintiffs BINYAM MOHAMED, ABOU ELKASSIM BRITEL, AHMED  
AGIZA, MOHAMED FARAG AHMAD BASHMILAH, and BISHER AL-RAWI**

**\* Admitted Pro Hac Vice**

**† Attorneys for and on behalf of Plaintiff BINYAM MOHAMED only**

**++ Attorney for and on behalf of Plaintiff MOHAMED FARAG AHMAD  
BASHMILAH only**

1 I, **ANNA WIGENMARK**, of Stockholm, Sweden, under penalty of perjury under the laws  
2 of the United States of America, declare as follows, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746:

- 3
- 4 1. I am a Human Rights Lawyer at the Swedish Helsinki Committee for Human Rights  
5 and I am familiar with the factual circumstances surrounding the rendition of Mr.  
6 Agiza and another Egyptian citizen, Mohamed El Zery, from Sweden to Egypt on  
7 December 18, 2001. I am also well acquainted with international legal proceedings  
8 filed on their behalf subsequent to their rendition, as well as the findings of official  
9 investigations and inquiries conducted into this matter. I was counsel for Mr. El Zery  
10 in his individual application to the U.N. Human Rights Committee. Presently, I am  
11 representing both Mr. El Zery and Mr. Agiza in negotiations with the Swedish  
12 Chancellor of Justice seeking reparations for their illegal expulsion from Sweden. I  
13 have full power of attorney for Mr. Agiza and I make this Declaration on his behalf in  
14 opposition to the United States Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, Summary  
15 Judgment.  
16
- 17 2. That Mr. Agiza was removed by the Swedish government from Sweden to Egypt on  
18 December 18, 2001, is not in dispute. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and  
19 correct copy of the order expelling Mr. Agiza signed by then Minister for Foreign  
20 Affairs, Anna Lindh.  
21
- 22 3. The specific facts surrounding Mr. Agiza's rendition from Sweden to Egypt on  
23 December 18, 2001, including the involvement of agents of the Central Intelligence  
24 Agency ("CIA"), are well known by the Swedish public and indeed by thousands of  
25 people worldwide. They are as stated in the complaint filed in this matter and, so far  
26  
27  
28

1 as I am aware, his specific allegations concerning involvement of agents of the U.S.,  
2 Swedish and Egyptian governments in his rendition have never been refuted.

3 4. Since Mr. Agiza's rendition, the facts of his case have been the subject of numerous  
4 inquiries at the universal, regional and national level. At the universal level, the  
5 United Nations Committee Against Torture has considered and issued  
6 recommendations concerning Mr. Agiza's case. Regionally, investigations have been  
7 carried out into Mr. Agiza's rendition by the European Parliament and the Council of  
8 Europe. And, on the national level, the Office of the Parliamentary Ombudsman of the  
9 Swedish Government and the Swedish Parliament's Standing Committee on the  
10 Constitution, have conducted inquiries in to his rendition during which both  
11 institutions confirmed that agents of the Swedish, U.S. and Egyptian governments  
12 were implicated.  
13

14  
15 5. On June 25, 2003, Mr. Agiza filed a petition before the United Nations Committee  
16 Against Torture (CAT) against Sweden, challenging Sweden's decision to expel him.  
17 In its decision issued on May 20, 2005, the CAT found that the expulsion of Mr.  
18 Agiza violated, *inter alia*, Article 3 of the Convention Against Torture and Other  
19 Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (prohibition against rendition  
20 to torture).  
21

22 6. The CAT was provided with detailed information deriving from the Swedish Minister  
23 for Foreign Affairs, including reports from the Swedish Embassy in Cairo and  
24 Swedish government *aide memoires*. In arriving at its decision, the Committee  
25 considered this information together with submissions made by the Swedish  
26  
27  
28

1 government on its liability for the expulsion of Mr. Agiza. In its submission the  
2 Swedish government made detailed reference to its discussions with the Egyptian  
3 government regarding the decision to expel Mr. Agiza from Sweden to Egypt. In  
4 addition, the Committee considered U.S. involvement in the matter. Attached hereto  
5 as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the findings and recommendations of the  
6 CAT Committee, *available at*:

7  
8 [http://sim.law.uu.nl/SIM/CaseLaw/fulltextcat.nsf/160f6e7f0fb318e8c1256d410033e0](http://sim.law.uu.nl/SIM/CaseLaw/fulltextcat.nsf/160f6e7f0fb318e8c1256d410033e0a1/b38dcf2b03d521cac1257021003d2212?OpenDocument)  
9 [a1/b38dcf2b03d521cac1257021003d2212?OpenDocument](http://sim.law.uu.nl/SIM/CaseLaw/fulltextcat.nsf/160f6e7f0fb318e8c1256d410033e0a1/b38dcf2b03d521cac1257021003d2212?OpenDocument)

- 10 7. Following the Committee's decision, on May 16, 2007, the Swedish government  
11 repealed its earlier decision expelling Mr. Agiza from Sweden and referred his request  
12 for a residence permit in Sweden to the Swedish Migration Board for examination  
13 under the Aliens Act 2005. The Swedish government also referred Mr. Agiza's  
14 request for compensation for the injuries he suffered to the government body  
15 responsible, the Office of the Chancellor of Justice, instructing the Office to negotiate  
16 an agreement with Mr. Agiza on this matter. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true  
17 and correct copy of a letter dated May 24, 2007, from the Ministry for Foreign Affairs  
18 to the Committee Against Torture setting forth this information.  
19
- 20 8. A Temporary Committee of the European Parliament established to inquire into the  
21 alleged use of European Countries by the CIA for the transportation and illegal  
22 detention of prisoners also conducted an inquiry into Mr. Agiza's rendition and issued  
23 a report on its findings in January 2007. In sum, in relation to Mr. Agiza's rendition,  
24 the European Parliament found that: "the Swedish authorities accepted an (*sic*) US  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 offer to place at their disposal an aircraft that benefited from special overflight  
2 authorisation in order to transport [Mr. Agiza] to Egypt; and “the Swedish security  
3 police lost control over the enforcement of the expulsion of Ahmed Agiza [...] to  
4 Egypt, outside the rule of law, by remaining passive during the degrading treatment of  
5 the men by US agents at Bromma airport.” Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and  
6 correct copy of the relevant paragraphs of the European Parliament resolution on the  
7 alleged use of European countries by the CIA for the transportation and illegal  
8 detention of prisoners (2006/2200 (INI)), *available at*:

9  
10 [http://www.europarl.europa.eu/comparl/tempcom/tdip/final\\_report\\_en.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/comparl/tempcom/tdip/final_report_en.pdf)

- 11 9. Mr. Agiza’s rendition and subsequent detention in Egypt was the subject of a second  
12 inter-governmental inquiry carried out by the Council of Europe into alleged secret  
13 detentions and unlawful inter-state transfers of detainees involving Council of Europe  
14 Member States. In a report published in 2006, the Council of Europe, based on flight  
15 records, and other evidence, concluded that the CIA was involved in Mr. Agiza’s  
16 removal from Sweden to Egypt. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct  
17 copy of the report of the Council of Europe relative to Mr. Agiza’s rendition,  
18  
19 *available at*:

20 [http://assembly.coe.int/Main.asp?link=http://assembly.coe.int/Documents/WorkingD](http://assembly.coe.int/Main.asp?link=http://assembly.coe.int/Documents/WorkingDocs/doc06/edoc10957.htm?link=/Documents/WorkingDocs/Doc06/EDOC10957.htm)  
21 [ocs/doc06/edoc10957.htm?link=/Documents/WorkingDocs/Doc06/EDOC10957.htm](http://assembly.coe.int/Main.asp?link=http://assembly.coe.int/Documents/WorkingDocs/doc06/edoc10957.htm?link=/Documents/WorkingDocs/Doc06/EDOC10957.htm)  
22

- 23 10. In 2004-2005, the Parliamentary Ombudsman of the Swedish Government conducted  
24 an inquiry into the rendition of Mr. Agiza and Mr. Mohamed El-Zery. The inquiry  
25 was initiated by the Ombudsman to establish: “what occurred at Bromma airport and  
26

1 the manner in which the expulsion of the two Egyptians was carried out.” The  
2 Ombudsman’s report, published on March 22, 2005, focused on: “the actions of the  
3 [Swedish] Security Police in connection with the use of public authority by *foreign*  
4 *officials* on Swedish territory and the use of force and coercive measures.” (emphasis  
5 added).  
6

7 11. In the course of his inquiry, the Ombudsman interviewed members of the Swedish  
8 security police present at Bromma airport during Mr. Agiza’s rendition and examined  
9 relevant Swedish security police records as well as documentation from the Swedish  
10 Ministry for Foreign Affairs and Ministry of Justice. From his examination of this  
11 information, the Ombudsman noted that: “Some time before the expulsion decision  
12 was made .... the Security Police received an offer from the American Central  
13 Intelligence Agency (CIA) of the use of a plane that was said to have what was  
14 referred to as direct access so that it could fly over Europe without having to touch  
15 down.” [¶ 2.4.1.] Quoting from a memorandum drawn up by the Security Police on  
16 February 7, 2002, the Ombudsman also noted: “After some consultation with the staff  
17 of the Ministry for Foreign Affairs the Foreign Minister then gave approval of the  
18 acceptance by SÄPO/RPS of the help offered by the USA for the transport of A. [Mr.  
19 Agiza] and E.Z.[Mr. El Zery]” [¶2.4.2.]  
20  
21

22 12. Referencing official documentation, the report establishes that on the afternoon of  
23 December 18, 2001 a Swedish Security Officer met with American officials at  
24 Bromma airport to discuss details of Mr. Agiza’s rendition later that day and that:  
25 “During the meeting it was also said that the security personnel on the plane might be  
26  
27  
28

1 wearing hoods and that they wished to conduct a security check of A. [Agiza] and E.Z.  
2 [Mohamed El Zery] before they boarded the plane.” [¶2.4.3.] And: “in addition to its  
3 crew, a security team of seven or eight, among them a doctor and two Egyptian  
4 officials” would be on board. [*Id.*]

5  
6 13. Based on interviews and his examination of both classified and unclassified  
7 government documentation, the Ombudsman in his report details the whole rendition  
8 process from beginning to end, including the fact that the men were subjected to a  
9 rigorous “security check” by Americans wearing hoods and that two representatives  
10 of the Swedish Security Police were on board the aircraft when it took off for Cairo.  
11 The report also describes the conditions of the two men during the flight and that  
12 upon arrival in Cairo at about 3 a.m., Mr. Agiza and Mr. El Zery “disembarked and  
13 were received by Egyptian officials [and] driven off in a transit bus.” [*Id.*]

14  
15 14. The Ombudsman’s report contains a very detailed description of the *modus operandi*  
16 of Mr. Agiza’s rendition: “[Mr. Agiza and Mr. El-Zery] were [...] subjected to a  
17 security check at Bromma airport conducted by American officials. As has already  
18 been made clear, these checks comprised at least the following elements: ‘A. and E.Z.  
19 were subjected to body-searches, their clothes were cut to pieces and placed in bags,  
20 their hair was thoroughly examined, as were their oral cavities and ears. In addition  
21 they were handcuffed and their ankles fettered, dressed in overalls and photographed.  
22 Finally loose hoods without holes for their eyes were placed over their heads. A. and  
23 E.Z. were then taken out of the police station in bare feet and conveyed to the aircraft  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



1 where they were laid on mattresses to which they were strapped. The hoods,  
2 handcuffs and fetters were not removed during the flight to Egypt.” [¶ 3.2.2.]

3 15. In conclusion, the Ombudsman determined that: “The Swedish Security Police  
4 officers remained in the background while the American officials exercised public  
5 authority on their own by, for instance, adopting coercive measures such as body-  
6 searches, physical examination and some degree of force in the use of fetters and  
7 handcuffs.” [¶ 3.1.2.] and that: “American security officials did not merely assist the  
8 [Swedish] Security Police in their enforcement but that in reality they took over and  
9 were in control from the moment of their arrival at Bromma airport.” [¶ 3.1.1.]

10 16. The Ombudsman concludes that in the circumstances agents of the United States and  
11 Swedish governments violated Swedish criminal law by subjecting Mr. Agiza to  
12 “degrading and humiliating treatment” and by exercising police powers on Swedish  
13 soil without legal authority to do so. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct  
14 copy of the report of the Office of the Parliamentary Ombudsman of the Swedish  
15 Government, *available at*:  
16 [http://www.jo.se/Page.aspx?MenuId=106&MainMenuId=106&Language=en&Object](http://www.jo.se/Page.aspx?MenuId=106&MainMenuId=106&Language=en&ObjectClass=DynamX_SFS_Decision&Id=1662)  
17 [Class=DynamX\\_SFS\\_Decision&Id=1662\]](http://www.jo.se/Page.aspx?MenuId=106&MainMenuId=106&Language=en&ObjectClass=DynamX_SFS_Decision&Id=1662)

18 17. The Swedish Parliament’s Standing Committee on the Constitution also inquired into  
19 the Swedish government’s handling of Mr. Agiza’s rendition, and in particular,  
20 whether the government knew and approved of CIA involvement. In its report on the  
21 inquiry, the Standing Committee concluded that Swedish government actions violated  
22 Swedish immigration laws, and specifically, the Alien’s Act, prohibiting the transfer  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 of anyone from Sweden to a country where there is a substantial likelihood of his  
2 being subjected to torture. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of  
3 an English translation of the summary of the conclusions of the Standing Committee  
4 on the Constitution.

5  
6 18. During the hearing, and as recorded in the official report of the proceedings, the  
7 Administrative Director of the Swedish security services, Mr. Arne Andersson, stated  
8 that Swedish security police fully informed the then Minister for Foreign Affairs  
9 about the CIA-involvement in the rendition. Attached hereto as Exhibit H is a true and  
10 correct copy of an unofficial English translation of Mr. Arne Andersson's testimony  
11 before the Standing Committee.

12  
13 19. Members of the Standing Committee also interviewed senior Swedish government  
14 officials. In response to questions concerning Egyptian and American government  
15 involvement in Mr. Agiza's rendition, the State Secretary at the Ministry for Foreign  
16 Affairs, Ms. Gun-Britt Andersson, confirmed that an agreement had been reached  
17 between Sweden and the Head of Egyptian security regarding Mr. Agiza's removal to  
18 Egypt. The political Director at the Ministry for Foreign Affairs, Mr. Sven-Olof  
19 Pettersson was also interviewed by the Committee. He confirmed that U.S. officials  
20 had assisted in the process of convincing Egypt to accept return of its citizens from  
21 Sweden.

22  
23 20. Mr. Petersson disclosed also that it was American intelligence agencies that had  
24 initially provided the Swedish government with information on Mr. Agiza and Mr. El  
25 Zery and in the course of a telephone conversation with Ms. Gillian Milovanovic, an  
26

1 official from the American Embassy in Stockholm, Ms. Milovanovic had expressed  
2 her concern that the two men may not be under constant surveillance by Security  
3 Police. Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of an unofficial English  
4 translation of the testimony of Sven-Olof Petersson before the Standing Committee on  
5 the Constitution Swedish Parliament.  
6

7 21. Flight records obtained in the course of the aforementioned inquiries conducted by the  
8 European Parliament and Council of Europe confirm CIA involvement in Mr. Agiza's  
9 rendition. Both institutions separately identify that a Gulfstream aircraft with the  
10 registration number N379P was involved in his transportation to Egypt, and that this  
11 aircraft was owned and operated by agents of the CIA. The European Parliament and  
12 Council of Europe both also note the same itinerary for this aircraft. Attached hereto  
13 as Exhibit J are true and correct copies of the flight records for N379P for December  
14 18, 2001, referenced by the European Parliament and Council of Europe.  
15

16  
17 I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.  
18

19 Executed this 10th day of Dec 2007.  
20  
21

22  
23 

24 Anna Wigenmark  
25  
26  
27  
28